Highly Soluble Perylene Tetracarboxylic Diimides (PDI)-Tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) Dyad and TTF-PDI-TTF Triad

Chengyun Wang,* Wei Tang, Hanbin Zhong, Xuechao Zhang, and Yongjia Shen

Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Institute of Fine Chemicals, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, People's Republic of China *E-mail: cywang@ecust.edu.cn Received October 8, 2008 DOI 10.1002/jhet.160

Published online 2 September 2009 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).



Two highly soluble donor- σ -acceptor and donor- σ -accepter- σ -donor type fluorescence switches consisting tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) and 3,4,9,10-perylene tetracarboxylic diimides (PDI) were synthesized. The structure of the dyad and triad as well as their intermediates was characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR MS, elemental analysis. Their fluorescence behavior could be modulated by oxidization and reduction of the TTF unit using either chemical or electrochemical method.

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 46, 881 (2009).

INTRODUCTION

Perylene diimide (PDI) [1–7] has been used in donoracceptor supramolecules due to their optical, redox, and stability properties. Tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) and its derivatives [8–11] are well known for their π -electrondonor properties. They have been extensively investigated as building blocks in electrical conductors and superconductors [12]. Some electron donor–acceptor supramolecules with TTF units have been prepared for studies related to intramolecular photoinduced electron transfer processes, intramolecular charge-transfer (ICT) interactions and construction of molecular rectifiers [13–16].

However, the research of PDI-TTF type donoracceptor system was confined because of the poor solubility of perylene diimide (PDI). Thus, we interested in the introduction of tetra-substituent tetra-butylphenoxy group to the bay region of PDIs to improve its solubility. In this article, electron donor- σ -acceptor dyad **1** and donor- σ -acceptor- σ -donor triad **2** containing PDI and TTF unit were synthesized and characterized (Scheme 1). The TTF unit is linked to PDI unit and the PDI unit is modified by *tert*-butylphenol to increase its solubility. TTF-type compounds are able to exist in three different stable redox states (TTF, TTF^{•+}, TTF²⁺). Therefore, the donating ability of TTF could be tuned by either chemical or electrochemical reversible redox reactions. And the optical absorption, fluorescent spectra, chemical, and electrochemical methods shows that two novel fluorescence switches were established.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The target compound **1** and **2** were synthesized starting from *N*-hexyl-1,6,7,12-tetra(4-*tert*-butylphenoxy)perylene-3,4-anhydride-9,10-tetracarboxylicimide (**4**) and 1,6,7,12-tetrakis(4-*tert*-butylphenoxy)-3,4,9,10-perylenetetracarboxy-anhydride (**5**) (Scheme 2).

Compounds 4, 5, and 6 were prepared by method previously reported [17–20]. The amino TTF 7 was synthesized through ammonization of TTF 6 with the presence of LiAlH₄ in THF. The reaction of compound 4 and amino TTF 7 led to dyad 1 (yield, 45%). The way to get compound 2 was just like the reaction to get compound 1. Amino TTF 7, compound 6 together with imidazole were heated to 175–180°C for 48 h, and compound 2 was finally achieved with a yield of 40% after column chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂: ethyl acetate = 1:1).

With tetrasubstitutions of p-t-butylphenoxy at the bay region, compound **1** and **2** were highly soluble in common organic solvents like CH₂Cl₂, CHCl₃, ethyl acetate, acetone, DMF, toluene, pyridine, and acetonitrile, slightly soluble in methanol, and insoluble in hexane and petroleum ether. The UV-vis spectra of dyad **1** and triad **2** were measured in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0×10^{-5} M) with CARY 100 Conc UV-vis spectrophotometer (Fig. 1).



For comparison, the UV-vis spectra of model compound **3** and **8** (1.0×10^{-5} M in CH₂Cl₂) were also given. Their spectral data were listed in Table 1.

The absorption spectra of 1, 2, 3 showed a wide absorption between 300 and 650 nm with identical absorption maximum at about 451, 537, 577 nm. Compared with PDI **3**, compound **1** and **2** have a new band at about 331 nm which is coincide with the absorption of TTF **8**. The UV-vis absorption spectra of **1** and **2** were almost summation of the spectra of donor **8** and acceptor **3** and no new band or unique spectroscopic shoulder was observed, this implying neglectable ground state electronic interaction between perylene diimide and TTF unit.

The electrochemical characterization of 1, 2 and reference compounds 3, 8 were tested by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and their voltammetric data were listed in Table 2. All the experiments were performed in dichloromethane, using n-Bu₄NPF₆ (10⁻¹ M) as the supporting electrolyte, platinum as the working and counter electrodes, and Ag/ AgCl as the reference electrode; the scan rate was 50 mV s⁻¹. Dyad 1 and triad 2 show almost the same reduction potentials at about -0.90 and -0.60 V, which were ascribed to the successive formation of the anion radical PDI⁻ and dianion PDI²⁻. In positive direction, 1 and 2 exhibited two one-electron reversible oxidation waves at about +0.58, +0.91, and +1.37 V, corresponding to the successive generation of the cation radical TTF^{$\bullet+$}, dication TTF²⁺ and cation radical PDI⁺. Comparison of different values for dyad 1 and triad 2 with reference compounds 3 and 8 suggested that no significant interaction takes place between both electroactive moieties in the ground state. This result was coincident with that of the UV-vis absorption.

Fluorescence spectra of 1, 2, and 3 were carried out with a CARY Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer in a 1 cm quartz cell (Fig. 2). Compared to compound 3, dyad 1 and triad 2 show a rather weak fluorescence. The fluorescence quantum yield ϕ_f ($\lambda_{exc} = 540$ nm) of

Scheme 2. Synthetic procedure for compound 1 and 2: (a) KOH, isopropanol/H₂O, N₂, reflux; (b) LiAlH₄, THF, N₂; (c) imidazole, reflux in *m*-cresol for 24 h, yield: 6, 45%; 7, 10%; (d) imidazole, reflux in *m*-cresol for 48 h, yield 40%.



Highly Soluble Perylene Tetracarboxylic Diimides (PDI)-Tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) Dyad and TTF-PDI-TTF Triad



Figure 1. Absorption spectrum of compounds 1, 2, 3, and 8 (1.0 \times 10^{-5} M in CH₂Cl₂).

1 and **2** was 0.172 and 0.087 compared to PDI **3** ($\phi_f = 1$). This weakly fluorescence emission of **1** and **2** could be attributed to a photoinduced electron transfer (PET) reaction between PDI and TTF unit in the dyad and triad for two major reasons: (1) ΔG values of **1** and **2** calculated using the Rehm-Weller equation [21] for the photoinduced electron transfer reactions were -1.03 and -1.04 eV, thus these reactions are thermodynamically favorable; (2) there was no spectral overlap between the absorption spectrum of TTF unit and Fluorescence spectrum of PDI unit. Hence, the energy transfer process was prohibited according to Förster mechanism [4].

Figure 3 was fluorescence spectra of 1 and 2 after addition of different amount of Fe^{3+} and further reduction by $Na_2S_2O_3$ (1.0×10^{-5} M in CH₂Cl₂, $\lambda_{ex} = 540$ nm). It is known that TTF and its analogues can be oxidized stoichiometrically by Fe^{3+} . As TTF being oxidized to corresponding cation radical and dication species, the fluorescence of compound 1 and 2 both increased gradually after Fe^{3+} was added. The maximum fluorescent intensity of dyad 1 increased by 245% after reaction with 1.0 equiv of Fe^{3+} and the maximum fluorescent intensity of triad 2 increased by 663% after 2.0 equiv of Fe^{3+} was added. As expected, after react with excess sodium thiosulfate ($Na_2S_2O_3$) to the former

Table 1 The absorption data of compounds 1, 2, 3, and 8 $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M in CH}_2\text{Cl}_2).$

| Compound | Absorption nm, $\epsilon\times10^5~M^{-1}~cm^{-1}$ |
|----------|--|
| 1 | 329(0.20), 448(0.21), 537(0.27), 577(0.43) |
| 2 | 331(0.31), 449(0.17), 536(0.23), 577(0.37) |
| 3 | 451(0.21), 537(0.34), 578(0.56) |
| 8 | 312(0.12), 332(0.11) |

Table 2

Oxidation potentials of compounds 1, 2, 3, and 8 in dichloromethane $(1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}).$

| | | | $E_{1/2}\left(\mathbf{V}\right)$ | | |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Compound | PDI ²⁻ | PDI^{-} | $TTF^{\bullet+}$ | TTF^{2+} | PDI^+ |
| 3 | -0.91 | -0.60 | | | 1.37 |
| 8 | | | 0.54 | 0.91 | |
| 1 | -0.90 | -0.60 | 0.58 | 0.93 | 1.38 |
| 2 | -0.89 | -0.61 | 0.56 | 0.92 | 1.40 |

chemically oxidized solution, both absorption and fluorescence spectrum of compound 1 and 2 restored (see Fig. 3). This is because the radical cation of TTF unit (TTF²⁺) of compound 1 and 2 transferred into neutral unit after adding of $Na_2S_2O_3$. This indicates that the fluorescence of dyad 1 and triad 2 could be modulated by reversible chemical oxidation and reduction of TTF unit.

The fluorescence of dyad 1 and triad 2 could also be modulated by reversible electrochemical oxidation and reduction of TTF unit (see Fig. 4). Oxidation of compound 1 and 2 (1.0 \times 10⁻⁵ M) containing n-Bu₄NPF₆ $(1.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ M})$ was performed by applying an oxidation potential of 0.60 V (vs. Ag wire) to the solution. Figure 4 show that the oxidation led to the increase at 600 nm. This is similar to the fluorescence spectral variation observed after chemical oxidation which is discussed above. The application of a reduction potential of 0.1 V (vs. Ag wire) to former electrochemically oxidized solution for 5 min also led to the transformation of TTF^{2+} into neutral unit. Consequently, the fluorescence of dyad 1 and triad 2 restored (Fig. 4). As a result, electrochemically oxidization and reduction could also modulate the fluorescence of both dyad 1 and triad 2.



Figure 2. Fluorescence spectrum of compounds 1, 2, and 3 (1.0 \times 10 $^{-5}$ M in CH_2Cl_2, $\lambda_{ex}=$ 540 nm).



Figure 3. Fluorescence spectrum of dyad **1** (A) and triad **2** (B) $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M} \text{ in CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \lambda_{ex} = 540 \text{ nm})$ in the presence of different amounts of Fe(ClO₄)₃ and further reduction by Na₂S₂O₃.

CONCLUSIONS

We have designed and synthesized a new donor- σ -accepter (D- σ -A) type molecular fluorescence switches dyad **1** and a new donor- σ -accepter- σ -donor (D- σ -A- σ -D) type molecular fluorescence switch triad **2** containing PDI and TTF units. Compound **1** and **2** both have excellent solubility in most organic solvents because of the tetra-substituted p-t-butylphenoxy at the bay region. What's more, their fluorescent behavior could be modulated by reversible oxidation and reduction of TTF unit either chemically or electrochemically.

EXPERIMENTAL

N-Hexyl-1,6,7,12-tetra(4-*tert*-butylphenoxy)-perylene-3,4anhydride-9,10-tetracarboxylicImide (4) and 1,6,7,12tetra(4-*tert*-butylphenoxy)-perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylicbisanhydride (5). *N*,*N*'-Dihexyl-1,6,7,12-tetra(4-*tert*-butylphenoxy)-perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylicdiimide (2.2 g, 2.0 mmol) with KOH (6 g,10 mmol) in isopropylalcohol (60 mL) and H₂O (6 mL) were heated to reflux temperature under argon for 10 h, followed by acidic work up and thorough washing and drying, yielded a mixture (1.0 g) of perylenemonooctylimide (4) and perylenebisanhydride (5) in a ratio of about 7:3. Compound 4 and 5 were separated by column chromatography on a silica gel with CH₂Cl₂/petroleumether (1:1). Compound (4): mp > 300°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.25 (s, 4H, H_{per}), 7.25 (d, J = 8.45 Hz, 8H, H_{ar}), 6.85 (d, J = 8.84 Hz, 8H, H_{ar}), 4.15 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, N–CH₂), 1.65 (m, 4H), 1.3 (s, 36H, 4–C(CH₃)₃), 0.85 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H, –CH₃).Compound (5): mp > 300°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.28 (s, 4H, H_{per}), 7.25 (d, J = 8.46 Hz, 8H, H_{ar}), 6.85 (d, J = 8.76 Hz, 8H, H_{ar}), 1.3 (s, 36H, 4–C(CH₃)₃).

4-Methyl-5-aminopropyl-4,5-bishexylenetetrathiafulvalene (7). LiAlH₄ (21 mg, 0.55 mmol) which is dissolved in 5 mL anhydrous degassed THF was added dropwise to a stirred solution of compound **6** (114 mg, 0.2 mmol) in anhydrous degassed THF (20 mL) under N₂. The mixture was refluxed for 2 h. After cooling, THF was evaporated *in vacuo* and



Figure 4. Fluorescence spectrum of dyad 1 (A) and triad 2 (B) $(10^{-5} \text{ M in CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \lambda_{ex} = 540 \text{ nm}$, containing $10^{-1} \text{ M } n\text{-Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6$) after oxidation of 0.60 V (vs. Ag wire) for different period and further reduction of 0.1 V (vs. Ag wire) for 5 min (scan rate was 50 mV s⁻¹).

CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and H₂O (10 mL) was added. The two phases were separated and the organic phase was washed with H₂O. Column chromatography (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂: MeOH = 4:1) after drying (MgSO₄) give compound **7** (67mg). Yield: 60%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.82 (m, 6H, -SCH₂-), 2.68 (m, 2H, -CH₂N), 2.40 (s, 3H, -SCH₃), 2.08 (s, 2H, NH₂) 2.01 (m, 2H, -CH₂-), 1.85 (m, 4H, -CH₂-), 1.42 (m, 4H, -CH₂-), 1.20-1.30 (m, 8H, -CH₂-), 0.86 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6H, -CH₃).

Perylene-TTF dyad 1. *N*-Hexyl-1,6,7,12-tetra(4-*tert*-butylphenoxy)-perylene-3,4-anhydride-9,10-tetracarboxylicimide (4) (208mg, 0.2 mmol), amino-TTF 7 (110mg, 0.2 mmol) and imidazole (0.5 g) are added to 30 mL of m-cresol. The reaction mixture was heated to 175-180°C under dry nitrogen for 48 h with stirring. After the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, it was evaporated in vacuo to remove m-cresol. The residue was washed by methanol to remove excess m-cresol and TTF 7. Then the residue was washed with hot 1% NaOH solution and hot water three times, respectively. After drying, the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using a mixture of dichloromethane-petroleum ether (1:1) as eluent, to give a purple solid of dyad 1 (yield: 45%, 144 mg). mp > 300°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.20 (s, 4H, Hper), 7.22 (d, J = 8.45 Hz, 8H, H_{ar}), 6.80 (d, J = 8.84Hz, 8H, Har), 4.20-4.28 (m, 4H, N-CH2), 2.75 (m, 6H, S-CH2-), 2.71 (m, 2H) 2.38 (s, 3H, -SCH3), 2.05 (m, 4H, -CH₂), 1.70 (m, 4H), 1.45 (m, 4H, CH₂), 1.28-1.35 (m, 44H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 6H, $-CH_3$). 0.82 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H, --CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 160.9, 155.7, 152.5, 147.2, 131.5, 127.4, 126.5, 122.3, 120.5, 119.7, 119.2, 110.1, 39.3, 36.7, 34.4, 31.6, 29.8, 29.3, 28.2, 27.7, 27.1, 22.5, 21.7, 19.4, 14.2, 13.8; MS(MALDI-TOF): m/z 1592.3 [M]⁺ (calcd for $C_{90}H_{100}N_2O_8S_8$ 1592.5); Anal. Calcd (%) for C₉₀H₁₀₀N₂O₈S₈: C 67.80, H 6.32, N 1.76; Found C 67.71, H 6.38. N 1.72

TTF-Perylene-TTF triad 2. 1,6,7,12-tetra(4-tert-butylphenoxy)-perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylicbisanhydride 5 (100 mg, 0.1 mmol), amino-TTF 7 (230 mg, 0.4 mmol) and imidazole (0.5 g) are added to 30 mL of *m*-cresol. The reaction mixture was heated to 175-180°C under dry nitrogen for 48 h with stirring. After the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, it was evaporated in vacuo to remove m-cresol. The residue was washed by methanol to remove excess m-cresol and TTF 7. Then the residue was washed by hot 1% NaOH solution and hot water three times, respectively. After drying, the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using a mixture of dichloromethane-ethyl acetate (1:1) as eluent, to give a purple solid of triad 2 (yield: 40%, 84 mg). mp > 300°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.28 (s, 4H, H_{per}), 7.23 (d, J = 8.38 Hz, 8H, H_{ar}), 6.85 (d, J = 8.62 Hz, 8H, H_{ar}), 4.20–4.25 (m, 4H, N–CH₂), 2.94 (m, 8H, S-CH₂-), 2.59 (s, 6H, -SCH₃), 2.01 (m, 8H, -CH₂), 1.65 (m, 8H), 1.45 (m, 8H, CH₂), 1.28–1.35 (m, 52H), 0.85 (t, J =6.4 Hz, 12H, -CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100MHz): δ 163.3, 156.2, 152.9, 147.2, 131.3, 127.6, 126.8, 122.1, 120.6, 119.8, 119.1, 110.5, 39.2, 34.4, 31.7, 29.9, 28.3, 27.6, 27.2, 22.5, 19.6, 14.1; MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z 2090.2 [M]⁺ (calcd for $C_{108}H_{126}N_2O_8S_{16}$ 2090.5); Anal. Calcd (%) for $C_{108}H_{126}N_2O_8S_{16}$: C 61.97, H 6.07, N 1.34; Found C 61.91, H 6.02, N 1.38.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20872035, 20676036), Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20070251018) and the foundation of East China University of Science & Technology (YJ0142130).

REFERENCES AND NOTES

[1] Gómez, R.; Coya, C.; Segura, J. L. Tetrahedron Lett 2008, 49, 3225.

[2] Leroy-Lhez, S.; Perrin, L.; Baffreau, J.; Hudhomme, P. C. R. Chimie 2006, 9, 240.

[3] Zheng, X. P.; Zhang, D. Q.; Zhu, D. B. Tetrahedron Lett 2006, 47, 9083.

[4] Guo, X. F.; Zhang, D. Q.; Zhang, H. J.; Fan, Q. H.; Xu, W.; Ai, X. C.; Fan, L. Z.; Zhu, D. B. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 4843.

[5] Bouquin, N.; Malinvskii, V. L.; Haner, R. Chem Commun 2008, 1974.

[6] Qvortrup, K.; Bond, A. D.; Nielsen, A.; Mckenzie, C. J.; Kilsa, K.; Nielsen, M. R. Chem Commun 2008, 1986.

[7] You, C. C.; Wülrthner, F. Org Lett 2004, 6, 2401.

[8] Li, H. C.; Jeppesen, J. O.; Levillain, E.; Becher, J. Chem Commun 2003, 846.

[9] Kodis, G.; Liddell, P. A.; Garza, L.; Moore, A. L.; Moore, T. A.; Gust, D. J Mater Chem 2002, 12, 2100.

[10] Xiao, X. W.; Xu, W.; Zhang, D. Q.; Xu, H.; Lu, H. Y.; Zhu, D. B. J Mater Chem 2005, 15, 2557.

[11] Sadaike, S.; Takimiya, K.; Aso, Y.; Otsubo, T. Tetrahedron Lett 2003, 44, 161.

[12] Fourmigue, M.; Batail, P. Chem Rev 2004, 104, 5937.

[13] Jeppesen, J. O.; Nielsen, M. B.; Becher, J. Chem Rev 2004, 104, 5115.

[14] Nielsen, K. A.; Jeppesen, J. O.; Levillain, E.; Becher, J. Angew Chem Int Ed 2003, 42, 187.

[15] Ho, G.; Heath, J. R.; Kondratenko, M.; Perepichka, D. F.; Arseneault, K.; Puzolet, M.; Bryce, M. R. Chem Eur J 2005, 11, 2914.

[16] Mas-Torrent, M.; Durkut, M.; Hadley, P.; Ribas, X.; Rovira, C. J Am Chem Soc 2004, 126, 984.

[17] Simonsen, K. B.; Svenstrup, N.; Lau, J.; Simonsen, O.; Mørk, P.; Kristensen, G. L.; Becher, J. Synthesis 1996, 407.

[18] Li, Y. J.; Wang, N.; Gan, H. Y.; Liu, H. B.; Li, H.; Li, Y. L.; He, X. R.; Huang, H.; Cui, S.; Wang, S.; Zhu, D. B. J Org Chem 2005, 70, 9686.

[19] Quante, H.; Schlichting, P.; Rohr, U.; Geerts, Y.; Mullen, K. Chem Phys 1996, 197, 4029.

[20] Park, J. S.; Lee, C. W.; Gong, M. S. Synth Met 2003, 132, 177.

[21] (a) Rehm, D.; Weller, A. Isr J Chem 1970, 8, 259; (b) For dyad 1: $\Delta G_{\text{PET}} = -E_{\text{ex}} + E_{\text{ox}} - E_{\text{red}} - e^2/\epsilon r$, $E_{\text{ox}} = +0.58 \text{ eV}$, $E_{\text{red}} = -0.60 \text{ eV}$, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 540$, $e^2/\epsilon r = -0.1 \text{ eV}$; For triad 2: $E_{\text{ox}} = +0.56 \text{ eV}$, $E_{\text{red}} = -0.61 \text{ eV}$, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 540$, $e^2/\epsilon r = -0.1 \text{ eV}$.